

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

111

CRM-M-8343-2022

Date of Decision: February 25, 2022

Pardeep Sharma alias Pardeep Kumar

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Haryana & others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Abhinav Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

(Through Video Conferencing)

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied in case directions may be issued to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Panipat to decide the representation dated 07.04.2021 (Annexure P-11) within time bound manner.

Notice.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents. An appropriate number of copies of the paperbook be supplied to him during the course of the day. Given the nature of order being passed, no notice is required to be issued to the private respondents.

Given above, the present petition is disposed of by issuing direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Panipat to decide the representation dated 07.04.2021 (Annexure P-11) by passing a speaking order within four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, the grievance of the petitioner still exists, he shall be at liberty to avail legal remedy in accordance with law including approaching this Court.

Petition is allowed to the extent mentioned above. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

February 25, 2022

sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: No