

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

122

CRM-M-8106-2022

Date of decision: 25.02.2022

Rajesh @ Raje

...petitioner

V/S

State of Haryana

...respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Randeep S. Dhull, Advocate
for the petitioner

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

By this petition, the petitioner seeks the concession of interim bail under the provisions of Section 439 Cr.P.C., upon FIR No.123, dated 28.03.2017, having been registered at Police Station Civil Lines Rohtak, District Rohtak, alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under Sections 148, 149, 307 and 302 of the IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the *bhog* ceremony after the death of the petitioner's father is to be held on 28.02.2022 and therefore he may be taken in police custody on that date.

Strangely, this petition has been filed extremely late, but nevertheless, in the circumstances, notice of motion is issued.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG Haryana, accepts notice at the asking of the Court and seeks time till the end of the day to verify the aforesaid fact.

XX XX XX XX XX

In the post lunch session, Mr. Poswal, learned State counsel, submits that he has spoken to Inspector Jaivir, who has stated that the *bhog/kirya/uthala* that is to be performed is of the petitioner's step father.

Learned counsel for the petitioner however submits that the petitioner had been adopted by him.

Without going into the issue, since the petitioner is to be taken into custody even if the *bhog* is of his step father, he would be taken in custody on the date of *bhog/kirya/uthala* on 28.02.2022 and brought back to the jail premises on the same date itself, obviously by taking all the precautions.

Learned counsel for the petitioner very fairly submits that the petitioner would bear the expenses of being taken in custody to the place where the *bhog* is to be held and to be brought back to the jail.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

A copy of the order be given dasti to learned counsel for the parties.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

25.02.2022

neenu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No