

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

119

CRM-M-6453-2020

Date of Decision: 28.03.2022

Sanjeev Kumar @ Bittu

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. S.K. Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ram Bilas Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Atul Yadav, Advocate for respondent No.2.

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 844 dated 12.11.2019, under Sections 323, 325, 341, 379-B and 34 IPC registered at Police Station Mujesar, District Faridabad and subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise.

Vide order dated 13.02.2020, parties were directed to appear before concerned Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for getting their statements recorded and the trial Court was to send its report regarding the genuineness of the compromise.

A report dated 06.03.2020 of learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Faridabad has been received. As per the report, the parties had appeared before learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Faridabad. Learned Magistrate has stated that the compromise arrived at between the parties appears to be without any threat or pressure

from either side and is made out of free volition of the parties.

In view thereof, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 844 dated 12.11.2019, under Sections 323, 325, 341, 379-B and 34 IPC registered at Police Station Mujesar, District Faridabad along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua petitioner.

[HARINDER SINGH SIDHU]
JUDGE

March 28, 2022
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/no
Whether reportable?	Yes/no