

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(261)

**CRM-M-6520-2020
Date of decision:- 25.05.2022**

Ashwani	Versus	...Petitioner
State of Haryana and another		...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Ajay Kamboj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Ankita Ahuja, AAG, Haryana for State-respondent No.1.

Mr. Jaspal Singh, Advocate for
Mr. G.S.Sidhu, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short “the Code”) seeking quashing of FIR No.0017 dated 24.03.2019, registered for offences under Sections 342, 363 and 366 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short “IPC”), however, later on Section 376, IPC was added, at Police Station Women Dabwali, District Sirsa, Annexure P-1, along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 20.01.2020, Annexure P-2, arrived at between the parties.

Reply by way of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Dabwali has been filed on behalf of State-respondent No.1, which is taken on record.

By referring to the reply, State counsel submits that Section 376, IPC has been added on the basis of the statement recorded by the prosecutrix under Section 164 of the Code. Still further, she submits that the prosecutrix as well as her mother have been examined and have supported the case of the prosecution.

In view of the above and the judgment of the Supreme Court in ***The State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Laxmi Narayan and others 2019 (5) SCC 688***, prayer made in the petition cannot be entertained.

Petition is dismissed.

25.05.2022	(SUVIR SEHGAL)
<i>Kamal</i>	JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No