

COC-362-2022

Date of Decision : 28.02.2022

Ayurvedic Drugs Manufactures Association of Haryana

...Petitioner

Versus

Sanjeev Kaushal, IAS

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Sarfraj Hussain, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**B.S. Walia, J. (VC)**

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.
2. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order Annexure P/1 dated 02.08.2021, in CWP-13135-2021
3. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 dated 02.08.2021, reveals that CWP-13135-2021, was disposed of by directing the respondent to consider and decide the representation submitted by the petitioner by passing a speaking / reasoned order, within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.
4. Learned counsel contends that the instant petition was filed on account of failure of the respondent to do the needful in terms of order dated 02.08.2021, in CWP-13135-2021.
5. At the outset, learned DAG, Haryana, has placed on record

petitioner. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who on perusal thereof states that in view of the respondent having decided the representation in compliance of order dated 02.08.2021, in CWP-13135-2021, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the contempt petition and the same may be disposed of as such while granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 01.02.2022, by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law. Learned DAG, Haryana, also contends that in view of the representation having been decided, the petition for initiating proceedings under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 against the respondent be disposed of as not calling for any action.

6. I have considered the submissions of learned counsel.

7. Notice has not yet been issued in the instant case.

8. Admittedly the respondent has complied with the order of this Court dated 02.08.2021, in CWP-13135-2021, by deciding the representation vide order dated 01.02.2022. Accordingly, in view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, no action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, is called for against the respondent. The contempt petition is disposed of as such while granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 01.02.2022, by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.

**(B.S. Walia)**  
**Judge**

28.02.2022  
rajesh

|                            |   |        |
|----------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable         | : | Yes/No |