

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-13970-1998 (O&M)

Date of decision: August 24, 2022

Arvind Ranga

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: Mr. R.K. Malik, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Sandeep Dhull, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R.D. Sharma, DAG Haryana.

Ms. Harpriya Khaneka, Advocate
for the respondent-HPSC.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petition herein, inter alia, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing respondents to appoint the petitioner as A.E.T.O or B.D.P.O.

2. Petition was admitted for hearing on 25.01.2001.

3. When taken up for final adjudication, after around 21 years, learned counsel is unable to assist the Court for lack of instructions. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that despite attempts, his office could not contact the petitioner. In the premise, no useful purpose would be served by issue of fresh notice to the petitioner as the same too would be an exercise in futility.

4. Whereas, learned counsel for the State also submits that he made attempts to get instructions from the office representatives of the respondents, but for lack of any assistance, he is unable to address arguments.

5. It seems that by sheer effluxion of time and pendency of the writ petition for more than 21 years before this Court, either it has been rendered infructuous or even otherwise the petitioner seems to have lost interest in pursuing the same.

6. Be that as it may, writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file an appropriate application in case any cause of action still survives.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

August 24, 2022
mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No