

297

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.6543 of 2020 (O&M)
Decided on: 05.05.2022

Raj Kaur and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. A.S. Barnala, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Aakash Singla, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioners pray for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.08 dated 21.01.2020, registered under Sections 420, 120-B IPC at Police Station Tapa, District Barnala.

The operative part of the order dated 14.02.2020, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioners, is reproduced as under:-

“....Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the dispute is primarily arising out of a matrimonial discord between the daughter of the petitioners and brother of the complainant.

Learned counsel further submits that as per the allegations in the FIR, some amount was allegedly advanced to the petitioners. It is further submitted that the petitioners are ready to explore the possibility of some amicable settlement.

Notice of motion....”

Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 14.02.2020, the petitioners have appeared before the Investigating Officer and have joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State assisted by counsel for the complainant and on instructions from the Investigating Officer, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioners are no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioners vide order dated 14.02.2020 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

05.05.2022
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No