

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-8784 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 16.08.2022.**

Rashpal Singh @ Parlad

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Chetan Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. DAG., Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN J. (ORAL)

One of the main ground for seeking regular bail is that the trial is not progressing at a decent pace.

Learned State counsel on instructions submits that out of eleven prosecution witnesses, one examined, four given up and six are left. Most of the prosecution witnesses are police officials and an earnest effort would be made to examine the remaining witnesses within three months from the date fixed.

In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner is not pressing the present petition at this stage.

Dismissed as not pressed.

There is no doubt that in case a request is made before the Court concerned for accommodating the date for expeditious examination of the prosecution witnesses, the same would be considered in accordance with law.

Since the main case has been dismissed, the pending application, if any is rendered infructuous.

16.08.2022
rekha sharma

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

whether reportable
whether speaking/non-speaking

Yes/No
Yes/No