

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1682-2022
Date of Decision:-24.2.2022

MEENA KUMARI AND ANR.

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present:- Ms. Sandeep Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Virender Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Case has been heard through video conferencing on account of
COVID-19 Pandemic.

Petitioners allege that both of them are major and they got
married on 21.02.2022 against the wishes of respondent Nos.4 and 5 who
are related to petitioner No.1. This is their first marriage.

Counsel for the petitioners submits/submitted that the aforesaid
private respondents have threatened to cause harm to both the petitioners
and in this regard, representation (Annexure P-5) was given on 21.2.2022 to
respondent No.2 but till date no action has been taken by the concerned
authority in this regard.

Notice of motion.

Mr. A.K. Kaundal, DAG, accepts notice on behalf of the State of Punjab. He submits that the concerned authority will look into the matter and take decision on the representation of the petitioners in accordance with law.

In view of above, without going into merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to consider representation dated 21.2.2022 (Annexure P-5) and to provide appropriate protection to the petitioners if so warranted. However, any observations made in this order will not be construed as an expression of opinion on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

In case the petitioners have committed any offence, the law will take its own course.

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

24.2.2022
Gaurav Sorot

Whether reasoned / speaking? Yes / No

Whether reportable? Yes / No