

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-3603-2022

Date of decision: 24.02.2022

Chamber of Industrial and Commerce undertakings Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and another

.... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN**

Present: Mr. Mukul Singla, Advocate
for Mr. Naveen Bindal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY TEWARI, J (ORAL)

By this petition, the petitioner has disputed the classification of items which are produced by its members.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in this regard, the petitioner had moved representations dated 06.03.2021 and 17.04.2021 (Anneuxres P1 & P-2), but despite the lapse of almost one year, no action has been taken thereon.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On advance notice, Mr. Anshuman Chopra, Advocate appears on behalf of the respondents.

5. In view of the nature of controversy, we deem it appropriate to direct Central Board of Direct Taxes & Customs-respondent No.2 to decide the representations dated 06.03.2021 and 17.04.2021 (Anneuxres P1 & P-2) expeditiously, but in any case within a period of two weeks from the date of certified copy of this order.

6. Petition stands disposed of.

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

**(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE**

24.02.2022

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No