

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

123

CWP-3776-2022

Date of decision : 25.02.2022.

Farid Khan

... Petitioner

Versus

The Punjab State Cooperative Supply and Marketing Federation Ltd. Markfed
and another

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner, who is working as a Salesman with the respondent/MARKFED on a salary of ₹46,000/- has been fastened with a liability of ₹25,64,241/- on account of shortage of stock, vide order dated 09.07.2020 (Annexure P-2). The petitioner has preferred the statutory appeal (Annexure P-3) thereagainst with respondent No.1 on 08.09.2020 which has not been decided till date. He submits that in a similar petition bearing CWP-26467-2019 titled as **Kulwinder Singh vs. Board of Directors, The Punjab State Cooperative Supply & Marketing Federation Ltd. and others**, wherein the petitioner was also a Salesman with Markfed and recovery of ₹1,55,29,777/- had been directed against him. The Coordinate Bench in the revision petition pending adjudication had directed the revisional authority to decide the petition within a period of three months. The Coordinate Bench had also directed that further recovery also be stayed subject to the final order that may be passed by the revisional authority.

He also contends that the remedy of appeal has been provided in amended Rule 14D of the Punjab State Cooperative Supply and Marketing Federation Employees (Punishment & Appeal) Rules, 1990.

In view of the limited prayer of the petitioner and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of without issuing notice to the respondents with a direction to the appellate authority (respondent No.1) to consider and decide the appeal (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

Further recovery from the petitioner shall remain stayed and would be subject to the final order that may be passed by the appellate authority.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

February 25, 2022
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No