

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(109)

CRM-M-9259-2022

Date of Decision : March 04, 2022

Ramkaran Sharma

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.
(keeping in view the advance copy given).

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that certain facts, which are very necessary for pressing the prayer as raised in the present petition, could not be mentioned and also the necessary documents could not be attached and therefore, the petitioner be allowed to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file a fresh one on the same cause of action with correct and better particulars.

Ordered accordingly.

March 04, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No