

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-3789-2022
Date of Decision: 28.03.2022

Satnam Singh

....Petitioner

VS

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. M.S. Kathuria, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. A.G. Haryana

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel has handed over communication dated 25.03.2022 alongwith certain other communications and the demarcation report. The same are taken on record. Registry is directed to tag them at the appropriate place.

Based upon the aforementioned documents, it is submitted that the demarcation has been done and, thus, the writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has been given a copy of the demarcation report and upon perusal thereof he agrees with the submissions made by learned State counsel.

In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

28.03.2022
reena

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No