

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH.**

**CRM-M-8354-2022**

**Date of Decision:-13.09.2022**

**Naveen Bindal & Anr.**

.....Petitioners.

Versus

**State of Haryana & Anr.**

.....Respondents.

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI**

Present:- Mr. Kamal Mor, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, Assistant Advocate General,  
Haryana.

Mr. Rohit Badhwar, Advocate for respondent nos.2.

\*\*\*

**JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)**

The Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.11 dated 10.03.2017 (Annexure P-1) under Section 6 of Indecent Representation of Women ( Prohibition Act, 1986 and Sections 66 & 67 of Information Technology Act, 2000 registered at Police Station Women Police Station, Kaithal and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 29.01.2022 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Vide order dated 25.02.2022 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in terms of certain parameters given in the aforesaid order dated 25.02.2022 with regard to the compromise dated 29.01.2022 (Annexure P-2).

In terms of the order dated 25.02.2022 passed by this Court parties have appeared before the court of Ms. Anita Rani, Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Kaithal and as per her report dated 02.09.2022

submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

Perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*** and ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543.***

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Kaithal accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.11 dated 10.03.2017 (Annexure P-1) under Section 6 of Indecent Representation of Women ( Prohibition Act, 1986 and Sections 66 & 67 of Information Technology Act, 2000 registered at Police Station Women Police Station, Kaithal and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

Petition stands disposed of.

( JASJIT SINGH BEDI )  
JUDGE

September 13, 2022

Vinay

|                                  |               |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| <i>Whether reportable</i>        | <i>Yes/No</i> |