

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(115-3)

CRM-M-8293-2022

Date of Decision : March 04, 2022

Sumit Kumar

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Anuj Arya, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner very fairly submits that as the challan presented by the Investigating Agency is still to be taken up for consideration by the concerned Magistrate, therefore, the petitioner will raise all the objections including the one, which has been taken in the present petition for consideration of the said Magistrate to contend that no allegation has been substantiated from the investigation.

Ordered accordingly.

March 04, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No