

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

116

CWP-3517-2022

Date of decision : 28.03.2022.

Shri Harparshad Jain Vidya Parcharani Jain Elementary School Fazilka

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that respondent No.5, who is employed as a Head Teacher, is not discharging his duty and the petitioner/Institute has sought action against him. The petitioner has represented in this regard to respondents No.2 and 3 but no action has been taken so far.

Issue notice to respondents No.1 to 4 at this stage.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Kannan Malik, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 and upon instructions from Sh. Sukhveer Singh, District Education Officer (EE), Fazilka, submits that the services of respondent No.5 have been terminated by the petitioner/Institute on 29.11.2021 and respondents No.2 and 3 had sought clarification in this regard from the petitioner on 10.01.2022 but no response has been received.

Heard.

It is apparent from the material on record that the factum of termination of services of respondent No.5 has not been disclosed in this

petition. The petitioner/Institute has not responded to the communications sent by respondents No.2 and 3 and therefore, I am not inclined to entertain this petition at this stage which stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

March 28, 2022
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No