

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
CWP-11350-2001 (O&M)
Date of decision: July 4, 2022

Biru

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: Ms. Geetanjali Chhabra, Advocate for
 Mr. Raman B.Garg, Advocate,
 For the petitioner.

Mr. R.D. Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petition herein, *inter alia*, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioner in view of the policy decisions dated 07.03.1996 and 18.03.1996 (Annexures P-1 and P-2).

2. Petition was filed in the year 2001 and has been lying admitted ever since. When called out for hearing, learned counsel is unable to assist the Court for lack of instructions. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that despite attempts, her office could not contact the petitioner.

3. It seems that by sheer effluxion of time and pendency of the writ petition for more than 21 years before this Court, it has been rendered infructuous and/or the petitioner seems to have lost interest in pursuing the same.

4. Be that as it may, writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file an appropriate application in case, any cause of action still survives.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

July 4, 2022

vandana

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No