

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-11348-2001 (O&M)

Date of decision: July 04, 2022

Ravinder Kumar Dogra

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. R.D. Sharma, DAG Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petition herein, *inter alia*, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari for quashing the selection and appointment made vide letter dated 28.02.1997 (Annexure P-4) and 18.06.1997 (Annexure P-5) respectively of respondent No.3 for the post of Deputy District Attorney. Further prayer has been made for quashing order dated 12.04.2001 (Annexure P-14) whereby respondent No.1 has rejected the representation of the petitioner.

2. Petition was admitted for hearing in the year 2001.

3. When called out for hearing, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It seems that by sheer effluxion of time and pendency of the writ petition for more than 21 years before this Court, either it has been rendered infructuous or even otherwise the petitioner seems to have lost interest in pursuing the same.

4. In any case, from perusal of record/pleadings, it appears that even on merits, claim of the petitioner is not admissible. Reference may be had in

particular to the stand taken in Para-2 of the preliminary submissions of the reply filed by respondent No.1. It transpires that the petitioner has not approached this Court with clean hands. Petitioner tampered with record of his Date of Birth and had shown his date of birth as 01.05.1958, whereas, his actual date of birth is 01.05.1956. District Education Officer, Hisar vide letter dated 12.01.1996 (Annexure R-1) confirmed the same. As per report of the Vigilance Department as well, the date of birth of the Department was found to be 01.05.1956. FIR No.7 dated 25.05.1999 under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC was registered against the petitioner at Police Station State Vigilance Bureau, Hisar.

5. Learned State counsel has canvassed arguments on similar lines as per the defense taken in the written statement.

6. I am in agreement with the stand taken by the respondents. Neither any replication has been filed to the afore-noted stand of the respondents nor any additional affidavit of any kind to controvert the same.

7. In view of the aforesaid, no grounds are made out to interfere.

8. Dismissed.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

July 04, 2022
mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No