

CRM-M-8045-2022

Date of decision: 18.05.2022

MEENU KANSAL

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Gaurav Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Zorawar Singh Chauhan, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Gourav Gupta, Advocate
for the complainant.

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

On 24.02.2022, the following order was passed:-

“Through instant petition, the petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No. 0177 dated 31.07.2020 under Section 306 IPC registered at Old Faridabad Police Station, District Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is daughter-in-law of the deceased, who as per the allegations in the FIR, had committed suicide by consuming some poisonous substance. The marriage of the petitioner was solemnized with the son of the deceased on 13.12.2018 and the matrimonial dispute had cropped up between them. Now, the matrimonial dispute has been amicably settled and the petitioner and her husband have instituted a petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act for dissolution of marriage by mutual consent. Comprise dated 14.07.2021 contained at Annexure P-2 has been effected, wherein, it has also been mentioned that the deceased had mistakenly consumed some poison which was lying in the home.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Zorawar Singh Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court,

accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Mr. Gourav Gupta, Advocate, has also appeared on behalf of the complainant and filed his vakalatnama. He has affirmed the fact that the matter has been amicably settled.

Adjourned to 18.05.2022.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join investigation and in the event of her arrest, she shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) of Code of Criminal Procedure.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of interim directions, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

On instructions from SI Suraj, learned State counsel has not assailed the aforesaid factual aspects and states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and her custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the aforesaid submissions, the order dated 24.02.2022, granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition is allowed.

18.05.2022
renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No