

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

109

CRM-M-7699-2022

Date of decision : 23.02.2022

BHARAT RATTAN MITTAL

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present :- Mr. Amaninder Preet, Advocate
for the petitioner.

(Through video conferencing)

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the wife of the petitioner was being treated in the medical institute being run by respondent No.4 and on 30.11.2020, her wife was actually treated by one Bhupinder Kaur @ Pinki, who is respondent No.5 by impersonating respondent No.4- Dr. Rajni Bala, as on the said date, Dr. Rajni Bala was not present in India whereas, the prescription slip issued to the wife of the petitioner on 30.11.2020 shows that the same has been signed by Dr. Rajni Bala, which fact proves that respondent No.5 had impersonated respondent No.4 while treating the wife of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that for the said grievance, the petitioner has already filed a representation dated 02.08.2021 (Annexure P-11) before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala which is still pending consideration with the said authority and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.3 to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition accepts notice on behalf of respondents-State and states that the representation dated 02.08.2021 (Annexure P/11) submitted by the petitioner will be decided by respondent No.3 within a period of eight weeks from today after due inquiry and in case, after the decision, it is found that any action needs to be taken, the same will also be taken without any further delay.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the representation, respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala District Patiala is directed to decide representation dated 02.08.2021 (Annexure P/11) submitted by the petitioner by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. It will be appreciated that all concerned who are likely to be affected by the outcome of the representation, are heard before passing the order.

Present petition stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

23.02.2022

rimpall

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No