

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CRWP No. 1647 of 2022
Date of Decision: 23.2.2022

Dakshita and anotherPetitioners

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. M.K.Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Shashank Bhandari, Addl. P.P., U.T., Chandigarh.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Articles 226, and, 21 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus hence directing respondents No. 2 and 3 to give protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners, and also against any interference in the peaceful life of the petitioners being made at the behest of respondents No. 4 to 8.

2. The learned State Counsel, does not have any objection, to an order being made by this Court to the respondents concerned, to look into and decide through a speaking order, representation Annexure P-4.

3 Consequently, this Court directs the respondents concerned, to within three weeks hereafter, hence decide Annexure P-4, through a speaking order.

4. Petition is disposed of.

5. A copy of this order be given dasti on payment of usual charges.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

February 23, 2022
Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No