

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-7688-2022
Date of decision: 26.04.2022**

Joginder Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. B. S. Bhalla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioners pray for grant of anticipatory bail in in cross case bearing Rapat No. 4 dated 30.09.2021 in FIR No. 203 dated 29.09.2021, under Sections 323, 324, 148, 149 of the IPC (Section 307 IPC added later on) and Sections 25, 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Dharamkot, District Moga.

The operative part of the order dated 23.02.2022, vide which the petitioners have been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the cross version was registered after two days with the allegations that the petitioners, who were armed with weapons like sticks and baseball bat, attacked the complainant side which was armed with fire arms, however, it is the petitioners, who have suffered fire arm injuries and the FIR is registered.

Notice of motion for 26.04.2022.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners, in pursuance to the order dated 23.02.2022, have already appeared before

the SHO/Investigating Officer and have joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation and are no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioners, vide order dated 23.02.2022, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

26.04.2022
Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No