

110-C

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-8361-2022

Date of decision : 10.03.2022

Kay Aar Exim Private Limited and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Commissioner of Police and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Bikramjit Aroura, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. R.S. Khaira, AAG, Punjab.

(Through Video Conferencing)

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for issuance of appropriate directions or orders to the official respondents to take necessary and effective steps in fair and impartial manner without any delay while exercising their powers under Section 154 of Cr.P.C. for taking appropriate legal action against the culprits and their associates.

Faced with the dictum of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court of India in case titled **Sakiri Vasu Vs. State of U.P. and others**, reported as **2008(2) SCC 409** and in **M. Subramaniam and another Vs. S. Janaki and another, Criminal Appeal No.102 of 2011, decided on 20.03.2020**, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission of this

Court to withdraw the present petition with liberty to pursue alternative remedies in accordance with law.

It is further submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the withdrawal of the present case should not come in the way of the petitioners to pursue their case before the police or at subsequent stage before the Magistrate under Section 156(3) of Cr.P.C.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioners to pursue alternative remedies in accordance with law.

It is, however, clarified that the withdrawal of the present case would not come in the way of the petitioners to pursue their remedy before the police or if so advised at subsequent stage in an application filed under Section 156(3) of Cr.P.C.

10.03.2022

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No