

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

113

CRWP-1644-2022

Date of Decision :23.02.2022

Mahesh

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. G.S. Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though, the prayer in the present petition is to provide security to the petitioner so as to ensure that there is no threat to his life and liberty but, the said prayer is not being pressed and now the only prayer of the petitioner being pressed is that as proper sections of the IPC have not been invoked keeping in view the injuries suffered by the petitioner while registration of FIR No.362 dated 09.11.2021 registered under Sections 323, 341, 506 and 149 of the IPC at Police Station Civil Lines, District Patiala, therefore, an appropriate direction be issued to the respondent-State to invoke the required sections of IPC in the said FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the said grievance has already been raised by the petitioner in the representation dated 17.02.2022 (Annexure P/4) though, addressed to the DGP, Punjab but, a copy of the same has also been forwarded to the respondent No.2-Senior

Superintendent of Police, District Patiala, which is still pending consideration with the said respondent and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and states that the representation dated 17.02.2022 (Annexure P/4) submitted by the petitioner will be decided within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order and in case, after the decision of the said representation, it is found that any action needs to be taken, the same will also be taken without any further delay.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the representation dated 17.02.2022 (Annexure P/4), respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation dated 17.02.2022 (Annexure P/4) submitted by the petitioner by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Present petition stands disposed of.

February 23, 2022
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No