

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.107

CRM-M-7927-2022

Date of decision: 24.02.2022

Raj Rani @ Raj Kaur @ Kamal

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Imran Farooqi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

Through CRM-M-17294-2020 – Raj Rani @ Komal @ Raj Kaur and another vs. State of Punjab, the petitioner alongwith co-accused Baljit Kaur had earlier approached this Court for the grant of anticipatory bail but when after hearing learned counsel appearing for the petitioner this Court was not inclined to grant the relief to her, learned counsel for the petitioner sought and got permission to withdraw the petition qua her. Such withdrawal was apparently for the reason that the order on merits may prejudice the petitioner's case at a later stage in the investigation/trial.

Admittedly, there is no change in circumstance after the dismissal of the petitioner's first petition for anticipatory bail and that being so, this Court is disinclined to grant the relief prayed for by her.

Dismissed.

February 24, 2022

Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No