

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.228

CWP No.4121 of 2020

Date of Decision: 28.03.2022

Sandeep and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present: Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G., Haryana with
Mr. Saurabh Mago, A.A.G., Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate and
Mr. Kushaldeep K. Manchanda, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

* * * *

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

Reply on behalf of respondents No.1 to 5, along-with Annexures R-1 to R-4 and the vernacular versions of Annexures R-1 and R-4, has been filed in the Court and these documents are taken on the record.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present petition has become infructuous as new election of Municipal Committee in view of the Section 18-A of the Haryana Municipal (Amendment) Act, 2019 has been conducted on 12.10.2021.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioners, the present petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

**(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE**

28.03.2022
neetu

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned* *Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No