

Poonam Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

Brij Mohan Goyal, Distt. Elementary Education Officer,
Panipat

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Sachmeet Singh Randhawa, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating action against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 10.01.2022 in CWP No.350 of 2022 in case titled as Poonam Rani vs. State of Haryana and ors.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 dated 10.01.2022 reveals that while issuing notice of motion in CWP No.350 of 2022, operation of order dated 09.12.2021 was ordered to remain stayed till the next date of hearing.

[3] Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that pursuant to the issuance of notice, the respondent has complied with order, Annexure P/1 dated 10.01.2022 in CWP No.350 of 2022 and has also released salary to the petitioner for the period in question, therefore, the petitioner does not press the instant petition.

[4] In view of the position noted above as well as the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of

as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

[5] Rule discharged.

04.04.2022

'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned

Whether reportable

:

:

Yes/No

Yes/No

(B.S. Walia)

Judge