

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-8375 of 2022

DECIDED ON: 4th March, 2022

Harmeet Singh

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

State of Punjab

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. C.M.Munjhal, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. DAG Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

This petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is filed seeking regular bail in case of FIR No.76 dated 8.7.2017, under Sections 307, 379, 323, 324, 148, 149 IPC, 1860 and Sections 325 and 326 IPC, 1860 added later on and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Lakho Ke Behram, District Ferozepur.

Brief facts are that FIR was registered at the instance of Talwinder Singh. There was a dispute between the complainant and the accused with regard to possession of land taken on lease. The incident took place on 7.7.2017. As per the contents the accused including the petitioner came on two-three vehicles. They were carrying fire arms. Injuries were inflicted to the complainant party. The role attributed to the petitioner is that he fired a shot which hit left thumb of Harjinder Singh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 29.12.2021 and investigation is complete. He further submits that co-accused Angrej Singh who was allegedly having similar role, was granted bail by the trial Court. He further argues that the injury attributed to

Learned State counsel opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the petitioner is involved in two more cases. Learned State counsel on instructions is not in a position to dispute parity of petitioner viz-a-viz with co-accused-Angrej Singh, so far as grant of bail is concerned.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, considering that the injury attributed is on non-vital part, investigation is complete, no further recovery is to be made and the fact that co-accused was granted bail, bail is granted to the petitioner on furnishing surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition is allowed.

It is clarified that the observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

4th March, 2022

reema

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>