

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(103)

CWP-3652-2020

Date of Decision : March 30, 2022

Gurjit Singh and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Manjit Singh Uppal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that in the present case, the rejection of the claim of the petitioners by the respondents is by taking into consideration the wrong factual position. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the juniors, namely Charanjit Singh Bains and Tek Singh, who were promoted on the basis of reservation, belonged to the same category of diploma holder and not that of AMIE and they were actullay granted promotion on the basis of reservation by superseding the petitioners, hence, the petitioners may kindly be granted liberty to withdraw this petition, at this stage so as to approach the respondents to bring to their notice that the rejection of the claim of the petitioners is done by relying upon the incorrect facts.

Ordered accordingly.

March 30, 2022

harsha

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No