

**105 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-8263-2022
Date of decision : 25.02.2022**

Karamjeet Kaur

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Maninder Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Matter has been taken up through video conferencing via Webex facility in the light of the Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Prayer in this petition is for cancellation of anticipatory bail to respondents No.2 and 3 granted vide order dated 19.01.2022 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Patiala.

It has been contended by counsel for the petitioner that learned Additional Sessions Judge has fallen in error in confirming the bail of both the respondents No.2 and 3. He submits that vide order dated 20.12.2021, the matter was referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre, Patiala. Thereafter, the case came up for hearing on 12.01.2022 on which date, both respondents No.2 and 3 were directed to join investigation. However, on 19.01.2022, learned Additional Sessions Judge, Patiala made the interim order dated 12.01.2022 absolute.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the recovery of the dowry articles was pending and the complainant had shifted to Australia after leaving her dowry articles in the matrimonial home only.

It is a settled proposition of the law that the law relating to the grant of bail and that for cancellation of the same are entirely different. Learned Additional Sessions Judge first referred both the parties to the Mediation Centre on their mutual agreement, somehow, the mediation remained unsuccessful. The report was duly received by the Court confirming that both the petitioners, who are parents-in-law of the complainant duly joined the investigation. However, the main thrust of the complainant was that recovery of the dowry articles remains to be effected and the same has been appreciated by the learned Additional Sessions Judge. In the overall facts and circumstances of the case, he made the interim order dated 12.01.2022 absolute.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and perusing the record, I find no infirmity in the conclusion arrived at by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Patiala in confirming the interim order dated 12.01.2022. Even otherwise there is nothing on the record to show that the petitioners have ever misused the concession of bail.

In view of the above, petition is being devoid of any merits, is hereby dismissed.

25.02.2022
m. sharma

(**RAJESH BHARDWAJ**)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No