

CWP-4415-2020

Date of Decision: 29.03.2022

Sandeep Kumar

....Petitioner

VS

Commissioner Rohtak Division and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Atul Ravish, Advocate
for the petitioner

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The Sub Divisional Officer appointed respondent No. 4 as the Chowkidar. The petitioner appealed against the order and the case was remanded for a fresh decision. Thereafter, the Sub Divisional Officer has maintained the earlier order. Further, appeal and revision preferred by the petitioner have been dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is more meritorious than respondent No. 4 and, thus, the authorities below were in error in appointing respondent No. 4 as the Chowkidar.

In exercise of jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India this Court will not interfere in cases where *inter se* merits of candidates for the post of Chowkidar is in dispute. Interference may be called for only in cases where there is a perversity in the order of appointment. No perversity has been pointed out and, thus, no interference is called for.

The writ petition has no merit and is dismissed.

29.03.2022

reena

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No