

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(211)

CRM-M-7837-2022

Date of Decision : March 15, 2022

Jai Pal

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.. Respondent

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Arvinder Arora, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Raman Kumar Sharma, Additional A.G., Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that first bail application filed by the petitioner was withdrawn as the complainant was yet to be examined but even after expiry of six months, the complainant has not been examined so far and the petitioner is behind bars, which is causing prejudice to the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that though even as of now, the petitioner is not pressing the prayer for the grant of regular bail but requests this Court to direct the trial Court to examine the complainant as next witness in a time bound manner.

Learned counsel for the respondents-State raises no objection for examining the complainant as next witness.

Keeping in view the above, though the present petition for the grant of regular bail has not been pressed but the trial Court is directed that the complainant be examined as next witness either on the next date of hearing or within a period of two months thereafter.

The present petition is disposed of in above terms.

March 15, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No