

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

104

**CR No.956 of 2020(O&M)
Date of Order: 16.03.2022**

Satwant Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

Gulzar Singh and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Ms. Rishma Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhriugu Dutt Sharma, Advocate
for respondent no.1.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

While assailing the correctness of the order passed by the Rent Controller, permitting respondent no.2 to become the co-petitioner, the tenant has filed this revision petition.

The learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that the ownership of the tenanted premises has been transferred by the landlord in favour of his son (respondent no.2), therefore, the application could not be allowed.

It is not in dispute that respondent no.2 is the owner of the tenanted premises and the petitioner also does not admit that now respondent no.1, is his landlord.

Therefore, no ground to interfere is made out.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

March 16th, 2022

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

nt

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No