

222

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*

**CRM-M-7399-2022 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 04.08.2022**

Satpal

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI**

Present: Mr. Rakesh Kumar Lathwal, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen S. Panwar, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)**

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.303 dated 05.09.2021, under Sections 307 & 324 IPC, registered at Police Station Gannaur, District Sonipat.

It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 06.09.2021 and after the completion of investigation of the case, challan has been presented before the competent Court, thereafter, during the trial, 11 out of 22 witnesses have already been examined including all the material witnesses which further included the complainant and the injured. He further submitted that both the complainant and the injured have not supported the prosecution version and they have been declared hostile. He also submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and has already faced incarceration for about

11 months and also he is not involved in any other case and has got clean antecedents and has, therefore, prayed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

On the other hand, Mr. Naveen S. Panwar, learned DAG, Haryana has stated that it is correct that the petitioner is in custody from 06.09.2021 and all the material witnesses have been examined out of which the complainant and the injured have not supported the prosecution version and they have been declared hostile. He further submitted that it is also correct that the petitioner is not involved in any other case.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner is in custody for about 11 months and 11 out of 22 witnesses have already been examined. As per the learned counsel for the parties, all the material witnesses have been examined and the complainant and the injured have not supported the prosecution version, therefore, in view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, this Court deems it fit and proper to grant bail to the petitioner.

Consequently, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

However, anything observed hereinabove shall not be treated as an expression of opinion on merits of the case and is only meant for the purpose of decision of present petition.

04.08.2022

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)

*Bhumika*

**JUDGE**

- |                               |        |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes    |
| 2. Whether reportable:        | Yes/No |