

COC-302-2022

Date of Decision : 08.03.2022

Satbir

...Petitioner

Versus

Deshraj

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**B.S. Walia, J. (VC)**

[1] Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

[2] Prayer in the petition under Sections 10 and 11 read with Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is for initiating action against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of orders, Annexure P/1 dated 27.10.2021 in CWP No.21700 of 2021.

[3] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 dated 27.10.2021 reveals that CWP No.21700 of 2021 was disposed of by directing the competent authority to look into the grievance of the petitioner as per legal notice dated 03.08.2021 and also by keeping in view the contentions raised in the writ petition and by treating the same as supplementary representation and take a decision, in respect thereto in accordance with law within three months.

[4] Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the instant petition was filed on account of failure of the respondent to do the needful. However, learned counsel states that today he has received

respondent to submit documents in support of his claim within 10 days.

[5] Learned DAG states that on submission of the documents in support of the claim as contained in the legal notice dated 03.08.2021 by the petitioner, the respondent would take a decision in respect thereto within a period of two weeks thereafter.

[6] The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioner who states that in the circumstances, he does not press the instant petition and the same may be disposed of as such while directing the respondent to decide his claim as contained in the legal notice dated 03.08.2021 in the light of documents to be submitted by the petitioner within time period to be stipulated by this Court.

[7] I have considered the submissions of learned counsel for the parties and in the light of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the parties, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while directing the respondent to decide the claim of the petitioner as contained in legal notice dated 03.08.2021 within two weeks of submission of documents by the petitioner in support of his claim and to convey the decision in respect thereto within a period of one week thereafter and if on decision by the respondent, the petitioner is found entitled to release of some financial benefits, to release the same to the petitioner within four weeks of the decision. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an application for revival of the instant petition in case the needful is not done by the respondent within the

[8] Contempt petition disposed of with the aforementioned directions.

[9] Rule discharged.

**(B.S. Walia)**  
**Judge**

**08.03.2022**  
*‘Amit’*

|                                   |   |               |
|-----------------------------------|---|---------------|
| <i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i> | : | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| <i>Whether reportable</i>         | : | <i>Yes/No</i> |