

Vikram

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. R.S. Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Learned counsel states that the petitioner has submitted a number of representation, lastly vide Annexure P/13 dated 12.06.2020, but no decision has been taken in respect thereto till date and that in the circumstances, the petitioner does not press the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to file a comprehensive representation before respondent No.2 and directions being issued to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the same in accordance with law, in a time bound manner.

[2] Notice of motion to respondent No.2 only.

[3] Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and after perusal of the same states that he has no objection to the limited prayer of learned counsel for the petitioner.

[4] Accordingly, in view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, but without commenting upon the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of by granting liberty to the petitioner to move a comprehensive representation before respondent No.2 who shall consider

and decide the same in accordance with law as also while keeping in mind the observations of Hon’ble the Supreme Court in **C. Jacob vs. Director of Geology and Mining and another, 2008 (10) SCC 115** within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

23.02.2022
‘Amit’

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>