

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-16395-1995

Date of decision: 23.05.2022

Puran and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA**

PRESENT: Mr. M.L. Sarin, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Ritesh Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G., Haryana with
Mr. Saurabh Mago, AAG, Haryana.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

Through this petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, prayer has been made for issuance of a writ in the nature of *Certiorari* for quashing the impugned notifications under Sections 4 and 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, dated 23.11.1992 and 19.11.1993 (Annexures P-1 and P-3, respectively) and the entire acquisition proceedings taken in pursuance thereof.

Learned counsel for the State has placed on record the additional affidavit dated 17.05.2022 of the Land Acquisition Officer, Urban Estate Department, Faridabad. The same is taken on record.

A copy thereof, has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners.

As per this affidavit, land of the petitioners measuring 12 kanal 15 marlas falling in Khasra No. 8//22 Min., 14//2 village Uncha Gaon Tehsil and District Faridabab, was acquired for the public purpose namely, development of Residential and Commercial Sector-2, Faridabad. The Urban Estates Department vide its notification dated 27.11.2018 (Annexure R-1) had already de-notified the land bearing Khasra No. 8//22 Min (7K-9M), 14//2(8K-0M) under Section 101A of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013, due to thickly populated colonies with various constructions on the acquired land and the same now stands released from the subject acquisition.

In view of the above, no further order is required to be passed in this writ petition and the same is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, with liberty to the petitioner to take appropriate steps, in accordance with law, if, so advised.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

May 23, 2022
rishu

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No