

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-7529-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.03.2022**

Ramjeet

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Ram Bilas Gupta, Advocate and
Mr. Manan Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 of Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 159 dated 17.04.2020 registered under Sections 323, 325, 307, 201, 506 and 34 IPC, at Police Station Saran, District Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case as he has nothing to do with the alleged occurrence; that though the petitioner was named in the FIR, yet no specific role has been attributed to the petitioner, even injured-Lalit in his statement recorded on 18.05.2020 under Section 161 Cr.P.C. has not attributed any specific role to the petitioner; that the petitioner has been in

custody since 14.04.2021 and that the present FIR is counter-blast to the FIR No. 157 dated 17.04.2020, registered under Sections 304, 323 and 34 IPC, at Police Station Saran, District Faridabad, wherein the mother of the present petitioner had died.

Learned State counsel, while vehemently opposing the prayer for bail read over the statement of injured-Lalit. However, he does not dispute the custody period of the petitioner and that no specific role has been attributed to the petitioner. He submits that out of 18 prosecution witnesses, none has been examined so far.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has been in custody since 14.04.2021. No specific role has been attributed to the petitioner. The prosecution witnesses are yet to be examined. Therefore, the conclusion of the trial would take time. Hence, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits, lest it should prejudice the case of either side, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

22.03.2022

Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No