

125 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-3614-2022

Date of Decision:03.03.2022

BALJINDER SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: Mr. Vipin Yadav, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Ms. Kirti Singh, D.A.G., Haryana.

(Presence marked through Video Conference)

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner herein, *inter alia*, seeks issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing respondent No.2 to decide his representation dated 09.06.2020 (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was working as a Driver on contract basis w.e.f. 03.09.2012 but his services were terminated w.e.f. 30.07.2019 without issuing any charge-sheet and/or conducting enquiry. Qua his aforesaid grievance, the petitioner submitted a representation dated 09.06.2020 (Annexure P-1) to the State Chief Information Commissioner, but to no avail. Hence, the instant petition.

3. Learned State counsel, on advance service, joins proceedings and states that competent authority shall take appropriate decision on the pending representation dated 09.06.2020 (Annexure P-1).

4. Given the nature of order being passed, there is no necessity to seek return by any of the respondents as no further proceedings and/or pleadings are required.

5. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to look into the grievance of the petitioner as per representation dated 09.06.2020 (Annexure P-1) and keeping in view the contention raised in the present petition by

treating the same as supplementary representation and take a decision, in accordance with law.

- 6. Let the needful be done as expeditiously as possible.
- 7. Disposed of accordingly.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

03.03.2022
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No