

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.118

**CR-583-2022 (O&M)**

**Date of decision : 22.02.2022**

Harmanjit Singh and another

..... Petitioners

**VERSUS**

Kuldeep Kaur (since deceased) through her LRs and others ..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL**

Present: Mr. Talwinder Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)**

An application was filed for examining a witness through video conferencing as the said witness was residing in Italy and was finding it difficult to travel to India. The application has been allowed.

It is submitted that application (Annexure P-3) shows that the applicant was not residing in Italy. The application has been drafted in India.

The drafting of an application in India would not show that the applicant was not residing in Italy and thus, the argument is misconceived.

Finally, it has been submitted that the trial Court may be directed to incorporate all the safeguards at the time of examining the witness.

No such direction is required as provision for providing the safeguard is inherent in the order permitting examination of a witness through video conferencing.

The revision petition has no merit and is dismissed.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)  
JUDGE

22.02.2022  
Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned  
Whether Reportable

Yes / No  
Yes/ No