

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

327

Civil Revision No.618 of 2022

Date of Decision: 21.09.2022

Ajit Singh and another

... Petitioners

Versus

Baljit Singh and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Jagat Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Virender Singh Punia, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

Mr. N.R. Dahia, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 to 5.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

This revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the order dated 06.02.2019 (Annexure P-5) by which the application for recalling the order dated 01.12.2015 was declined. The suit for partition was dismissed as withdrawn by the latter order.

The parties are *ad idem* that the order dated 01.12.2015 should be recalled as the suit for partition was filed with respect to family property owned by the parties. That is the reason they all want that the suit should be tried and family property should be partitioned.

Replies on behalf of respondents have also been filed, which are taken on record. The facts stated in the petition have not been disputed.

In view of the aforesaid, this revision petition is allowed and the order passed by the trial Court dated 06.02.2019 as well as the order dated 01.12.2015 are set aside, and the suit is restored to its original number before

the trial Court.

The parties are directed to appear before the trial Court on
20.10.2022.

21.09.2022
manju

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No