

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(Heard through VC)

CRM-M-7440 of 2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: February 25, 2022

Taha Nazir

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Javaid Iqbal Wani, Advocate with
Mr. Gaurav Deep Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.298 dated 06.10.2021, under Sections 66-C, 66-D of Information Technology Act and Sections 419, 420, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code (Section 201 of IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Sector 13/17, Panipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner herein was taken into custody in the present case on 17.01.2022. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is also contended that the investigation is complete, as the challan stood presented and it will take sufficient time to conclude the trial, as such, the

petitioner is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-State, on instructions from the Investigation Officer, opposes the grant of regular bail to the petitioner, by contending that the allegations levelled against the petitioner are serious in nature. However, he does not dispute the fact that investigation has been completed in the matter, as the challan stood presented.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the facts that the petitioner herein has been in custody since 17.01.2022, investigation is complete, as the challan stood presented and that it will take sufficient time to conclude the trial, as such, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars. At this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

However, anything observed or said by this court is only for the purpose of deciding the instant petition for grant of regular bail and the same shall have no effect on the merits of the case.

February 25, 2022
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No