

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

215

CRM-M-7647-2022

Date of Decision: 01.08.2022

Inderjeet Singh @ Lalli & another ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Arjun Veer Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab,
assisted by ASI Avtar Singh.

Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate, for the complainant.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners have approached this Court seeking grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered vide FIR No.52 dated 2.4.2021, Police Station Dakha, District Ludhiana under Sections 308, 341, 323, 506 of Indian Penal Code and Section 3 of Schedule Castes and Schedule Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989.
2. At the time of issuance of notice of motion on 23.02.2022, the following order was passed:

“Although this is a second petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. filed on behalf of the petitioners seeking grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered vide FIR No.52 dated 2.4.2021, Police Station Dakha, District Ludhiana under Sections 308, 341, 323, 506 of Indian Penal Code and Section 3 of Schedule Castes and Schedule Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, but the same is being entertained on account of changed circumstances inasmuch as the matter is stated to have been compromised.

Notice of motion for 1.8.2022.

At this stage, Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate has today put in appearance on behalf of the complainant and has filed power of attorney, which is taken on record. He has endorsed the factum of compromise.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioners be released on interim bail subject to their furnishing personal bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioners shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Arresting/Investigating Officer and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

3. Learned State counsel upon instructions has informed that pursuant to interim directions issued by this Court, the petitioners have since joined investigation and are not required for any custodial interrogation.
4. Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate, who had put in appearance on behalf of the complainant and is present in Court, has again endorsed the factum of compromise and has stated that he has no objection in case the petitioners have been granted anticipatory bail.
5. Having regard to the aforestated position and while also noticing that the matter stands compromised amongst the parties, the petition is accepted and the interim directions issued by this Court vide order dated 23.02.2022 are hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioners shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

01.08.2022

Vimal

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)**JUDGE**Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**Whether reportable: **Yes/No**