

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-8394-2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 20.01.2022

Parveen

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Vikas Kuthiala, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Kumar Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Harish Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the complainant.

(Through video conferencing)

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 371 dated 29.04.2020, registered under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 of the IPC at Police Station Sonipat City, District Sonipat.

The operative part of the order dated 23.02.2021, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner while inter-alia arguing that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Learned counsel would allege extreme harassment to the petitioner at the hands of complainant-department while contending that the petitioner had been allowed interim bail in CRM-M-51807 of 2019 and his brother Pardeep Kumar too had been allowed interim bail by this Court in CRM-M-51507- 2019, however, a fresh FIR No. 371 dated 29.04.2021 under Sections 419, 420,

467, 468, 471 IPC has now been registered at Police Station Sonipat City against him on the allegation that false documents had been obtained by him to secure a disability pension. It is submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation.

Notice of motion.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 23.02.2021, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, assisted by learned counsel for the complainant, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 23.02.2021, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

20.01.2022

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No