

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

244

CRM-M-5785-2020
Date of Decision: 06.09.2022

Davisha Sharma Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and another Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Ran Vijay Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Nipun Vashist, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the parties submit that the connected matter bearing CRM-M-7075-2022 for quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise in which statements of the parties were ordered to record and report was sought. After the receiving of the report, the matter has already been reserved by this Court on 16.08.2022.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since the offence is only under Section 66 of the Information and Technology Act and the complainant has no objection, the possibility of this Court accepting the compromise is large.

Since the matter has been compromised between the parties, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

It is clarified that no coercive steps shall be taken against the petitioner till the pronouncement of CRM-M-7075-2022. Liberty reserved to file a fresh petition in case the petition for compromise is dismissed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

06.09.2022
Jyoti-II

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>