

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

124

COCN No.292 of 2022

Date of Decision: 25.03.2022

Vikram Singh

....Petitioner

VERSUS

Anurag Rastogi, IAS

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: Ms. Neha Rana, Advocate for
Mr. R.N. Lohan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Upasana Dhawan, A.A.G. Haryana.

VIKAS SURI, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel has placed on record compliance report by way of affidavit dated 24.03.2022, appending therewith order dated 21.03.2022 (Annexure R-1). It is submitted that compliance of order dated 11.12.2020 passed in CWP-21349 of 2020 and order dated 15.03.2022 passed in COCP No.292 of 2022 has been made and while deciding the claim put forth by the petitioner, the necessary relief has also been granted to him by promoting him to the post of Assistant Excise and Taxation Officer w.e.f. 20.08.2013 (notionally), the date from which his junior namely Shri Anil Kumar was promoted and to the post of Excise and Taxation Officer w.e.f. 24.12.2016 (notionally), the date from which his junior namely Shri Rohtash Gill was promoted, subject to the conditions as contained in promotion order dated 30.01.2019 and further clarification sought from the Chief Secretary to Govt. of Haryana (as pointed out by the Committee constituted for the purpose).

COCP No.292 of 2022

Learned counsel for the petitioner is satisfied with the action now taken by the authorities and on instructions, submits that petitioner does not want to press the contempt petition any further and the same may be disposed of as such as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

Learned State counsel states that there is no objection and the respondent tenders unconditional apology for the delay that has occurred, which was neither willful nor intentional.

I have considered the submissions of learned counsels and in view of the order dated 11.12.2020 (Annexure P-2) and order dated 15.03.2022 passed in COCP-292-2022, having been complied with in spirit and the statement of the learned counsel for respondent, no action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is called for against the respondent.

Contempt petition is accordingly disposed of as such.

Rule is discharged.

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

March 25, 2022

Sachin M.

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No