

[135] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.288 of 2022

Date of Decision : 05.04.2022

Vikram

...Petitioner

Versus

P.K. Das, IAS, Addl. Chief Secretary to
Govt. of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Akash Gahlawat, Advocate for
Mr. Sunil K. Nehra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana
for respondent Nos.1 and 3.

Mr. B.R. Mahajan, Senior Advocate assisted by
Ms. Nikita Goel, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating action against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of orders (Annexure P-1) dated 15.11.2021 and (Annexure P-3) dated 07.12.2021 in CWP No.4466 of 2021 in case titled as '**Vikram** versus **State of Haryana and others**'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that CWP No.4466 of 2021 was disposed of vide order dated 15.11.2021 by holding the petitioner entitled to award of 118 marks and further with the observation that in case it was found that any candidate with lesser marks had been appointed against the post in question in the BCA category, to consider the case of the petitioner for appointment within 02 months.

[4] On 09.03.2022, learned DAG, Haryana, had requested for an adjournment to obtain instructions and to assist in the matter.

[5] Today, learned Senior Counsel assisted by Ms. Nikita Goel, Advocate has produced copy of recommendations made by the Secretary, Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula, recommending the name of the petitioner for appointment to the post of Grid Sub-Station Operator against Advertisement No.03/2016, Category No.05 vide appointment letter dated 30.03.2022 issued to the petitioner. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who after perusal of the same states that in the circumstances, the petitioner does not press the instant petition.

[6] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

05.04.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No