

[112] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.548 of 2020

Date of Decision :11.07.2022

Jaswant Singh s/o Shri Saudagar Singh Ragi ...Petitioner

versus

Husan Lal, IAS, Secretary, Govt. of Punjab,
Deptt. of Culture Affairs, Chandigarh and anotherRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : None for the petitioner.
Mr. Aditya Sharda, Asstt. A.G., Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 29.10.2019, in CWP No.31172 of 2019 in case titled as '**Jaswant Singh versus State of Punjab and others**'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1), reveals that CWP No.31172 of 2019, was disposed of by directing the respondents to objectively consider representation dated 26.09.2016 by keeping in view the contentions raised in the writ petition and treating the same as a supplementary representation and to pass a speaking order in respect thereto in accordance with law within 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

[3] Learned Asstt. AG, Punjab, refers to order (Annexure R-1) i.e. copy of speaking order dated 03.02.2020, holding that no excess had been committed by the department against the petitioner and accordingly, complaint dated 26.09.2019 filed by the petitioner was

rejected and filed.

[4] Learned Asstt. AG, Punjab states that copy of the reply was supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner besides copy of the speaking order was endorsed to the petitioner.

[5] However, none is present on behalf of the petitioner despite the case having been passed over in the pre-lunch session and a message also having been sent to the counsel for the petitioner by the court staff. In the circumstances, it appears that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition.

[6] Accordingly, in the light of the position noted above, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge order (Annexure R-1) dated 03.02.2020 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law, if so advised.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

11.07.2022

'Rajneesh'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>