

Bharat Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Vipin Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. At the outset, learned counsel contends that the petitioner has filed appeal under Rule 16.29 of the Punjab Police Rules, 1934 as applicable to the State of Haryana, against order dated 20.10.2016, passed by the Director General of Police, Haryana, on 08.12.2016, but the same has not been decided till date and that in the circumstances, the petitioner would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.1 to consider and decide the appeal, in a time bound manner.

2. Notice of motion to respondent No.1 only.

3. Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 and after perusal of the writ petition states that he has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. In the light of the position noted above but without commenting upon the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.1 to consider and decide the mercy appeal, Annexure P/2 dated 08.12.2016, in accordance with law, after taking into

account all aspects of the matter, within six weeks from today and to

furnish a copy of the order to the petitioner, within one week thereafter.

5. Writ petition disposed of with the aforementioned directions.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

22.02.2022
rajesh

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No