

213/2 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-7094-2022
Date of Decision: 11.05.2022

PARAMJIT SINGH AND ANOTHER

... PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB

... RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, AAG Punjab.

* * *

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

On 21.02.2022, following order was passed:

“Through instant petition, the petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No. 111 dated 04.12.2021 registered under Section 306 IPC at Police Station Bholath, District Kapurthala.

Briefly, the case has been registered on the basis of statement of Karnail Singh alleging that the marriage of his daughter, namely, Balwinder Kaur was solemnized with Sukhjinder Singh on 11.04.2009. Balwinder Kaur had committed suicide on the abetment given by the petitioners and the other family members of her in-laws.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that petitioners No.1 and 2 are the father-in-law and mother-in-law, respectively of the deceased. The deceased had been residing separately in a rented accommodation for a period of three years prior to the occurrence. Furthermore, during the pendency of the bail application before the Court of Sessions, Karnail Singh-complainant had made a statement to the effect that he has come to know that the accused are not involved in the case and had stated his no objection in the event they are granted bail. Furthermore, the accused, namely, Ravinder Kaur @ Billi and Ramandeep Kaur, have been granted interim bail.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, AAG, Punjab, has joined the proceedings on behalf of the respondent-State and seeks time to get instructions in the matter.

Adjourned to 11.05.2022.

To be heard alongwith CRM-M-6357-2022.

In the meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to join the investigation and in the event of their arrest, they shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) of Code of Criminal Procedure.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners state that the petitioners have joined the investigation in pursuance of the interim directions issued by this Court.

Learned State counsel, on instructions of ASI Ravinder Singh has not assailed the aforesaid factual aspect of the case and has further stated that the custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required.

In these set of circumstances, sufficient exceptional circumstances are made out to extend the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners. The order dated 21.02.2022 is made absolute.

The petition stands allowed.

11.05.2022

Janki

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No